## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/630,863 HOUSE ET AL. Interview Summary Art Unit Examiner 2625 Neil R. McLean All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Neil R. McLean. (3) Edward Chin. (4) Obert Chu. (2) King Poon. Date of Interview: 29 June 2007. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 1-15.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Interview concentrated on claims 9-11 and clients attorney(s) gave their interpretation of the inventors embodiment.</u> Examiner agrees to review an ammended version of claims.

Agreement with respect to the claims  $\mathfrak{f}$  was reached.  $\mathfrak{g}$  was not reached.  $\mathfrak{h}$  N/A.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

KING Y. POON
PRIMARY EXAMINER
Superviving latent

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Identification of prior art discussed: yes.

Examiner's signature, if required